

## **Declaration on Ethical Principles of Editorial Activity and Scientific Publications**

Editorial council and editorial board of the All-Russian Scientific Journal “Humanitarian Sciences in Siberia” declare that they strictly adhere to the ethical standards in their activities and in their relations with participants of the process of scientific publication. The normative framework of the journal’s activities in this sphere is provided by the copyright terms of the Civil Code of the Russian Federation (Chapter 70), as well as by the international standards adopted by the 2<sup>nd</sup> World Conference on Research Integrity (Singapore, July, 2010).

Editorial council and editorial board guarantee observance of the following ethical standards and principles:

*In the editor’s work:*

The editor creates conditions for conducting an objective analysis of scientific works submitted for publication. Content of the submitted research papers is evaluated irrespective of the author’s race, nationality, citizenship, gender, religion, sexual orientation and political views.

Manuscript of the research paper received by the editorial staff is treated as an official document. Its content is not subject to disclosure at all stages of manuscript preparation and copy editing. The right of reviewing and editing the manuscripts is granted to the people authorized by the editor-in-chief.

The unpublished data presented in the paper under consideration can not be used by the editorial board members in their own research or passed on to a third party.

The editor should avoid conflicts of interest in regard to the published or rejected manuscripts.

The editor must react to the ethical claims resulting from consideration and publication of manuscripts, and must protect the violated rights in case of a conflict situation.

Editorial corrections made in the manuscript are subject to the author’s approval. Editor-in-chief guarantees publication of corrections and apologies in case the author or third parties find any errors.

In case of ethical claims the Editor-in-chief together with the editorial staff takes the necessary measures related to the process of consideration of manuscripts or published materials. This entails cooperation with authors and relevant organizations.

*In the review process:*

In case of a conflict of interest a reviewer must refuse to review the paper with a notification thereof addressed to the Editor-in-chief.

The peer review must be anonymous. A reviewer can communicate directly with the author only with the permission of the Editor-in-Chief.

The review must be scientifically objective.

Information or ideas from the reviewed work must be kept confidentially and can not be used by a reviewer to his personal advantage.

The author (Licensor), who has an exclusive right, and Editor-in-chief of the Journal (Licensee), acting under the authority of the Founder, enter into a license agreement. This assumes the non-exclusive nature of rights granted (permissive nature of the agreement).

The Editorial Council and Editorial Board declare of their right to require that all authors comply with the following ethical standards and principles:

Only those persons who have contributed significantly to the development of a concept and conduct of research can be considered as authors of a publication.

An author must submit to the editorial office a completed original work and has a responsibility to ensure the accuracy of the published material. The presented data must be error free. Plagiarism in any form is unacceptable. Direct quotations or non-verbatim recitation of any text must be provided with references to sources.

The work submitted to the journal's editorial office must be previously unpublished and may not be under consideration elsewhere.

The author must inform the editor about any possible conflict of interest that could affect the findings of a study and must specify the funding source, if any, for the submitted work.

The author must cooperate with the journal's editorial staff at the stage of peer review and manuscript preparation. Upon receipt of a referee report he must respond promptly and take into consideration all observations or send to the editor his well-reasoned arguments.