

## **Guidelines for Submission and Peer Review of the Scientific Articles**

Authors may submit only one article per year. Manuscripts that have not been accepted for publication, or have been considered as not meeting the above requirements are not sent back to the authors. Publications are free of charge.

Articles submitted to the editorial office are subject to the peer-review process. A motivated refusal of publication is sent to the author via email after the Editorial board meeting on the upcoming journal edition. Proof sheets are not sent to the authors. Royalties for publications are not paid.

**The Journal carries out its publishing activities in accordance with the Civil Law of the Russian Federation, Chapter 70 “Copyright”.**

The author of an article must observe the international ethical principles of scientific publication. The editorial office reserves the right to verify a text in the antiplagiarism system.

Along with the submission of the article to the Journal’s editorial office, the author (co-authors) gives the Publisher for the entire term of copyright in accordance with current legislation in the Russian Federation the sole and exclusive right to use free of charge the article or its fragment (once the article has been accepted by the Editorial board for publication) in the territories of all countries protecting copyrights under international conventions. These rights include the rights to reproduce, distribute, publicly demonstrate, make publicly available, translate into foreign languages and revise the works (as well as an exclusive right to use the works translated and/or revised in all the ways mentioned above), to transfer all rights to a third person.

Concurrently with the submission of the article the author (co-authors) sends to the Editing board a signed license agreement for the right to use the scientific work in the journal. The scanned license agreement signed by the author (co-authors) can be sent via e-mail.

After registration of the submitted manuscript its relevance to the remit of the Journal is evaluated. If the manuscript fails to fit the remit of the Journal it is rejected with notification sent to the author. If the article fits the remit of the Journal the Editor-in-Chief or his deputies send it for anonymous peer review to the members of Editorial board / Editorial Council, and, if necessary, to other leading experts in this field of study, who work in the academic and higher education institutions. The reviewer uses a 5-point scale evaluating the scientific novelty; validity of methods and representativeness of historical sources; validity of findings; appropriate citation of previous publications; literary style; compliance of scholarly apparatus with GOST

standards. The reviewer also presents an extended summary justifying the need for publication, specific comments and recommendations for revising a text and making it shorter.

Articles intended for publication in the thematic block will be sent for additional peer review to the Editorial board member who is responsible for the thematic block's preparation (if he was not the first reviewer).

After peer review, the manuscript by a decision of the Editor-in-Chief or his deputy is sent to the author for revision or put before the Editorial board at its next meeting where it will be decided whether the article should be published, revised or rejected. If the article is sent for revision, the reviewer can be authorized to work with the author openly.

If any of the Editorial board members formulates a reasoned objection against the reviewer's opinion the Editorial board can decide to send the article for additional review by other experts.

The articles are registered by the editorial office. The day when the revised article was sent to the editorial office is considered the date of the article's acceptance to the journal.

The authors sending the manuscripts to the Journal thereby undertake an obligation to respond to the reviewer's comments and to make the proposed changes based on these comments and recommendations. In contentious cases, including conflicts of interest, authors may apply to the editorial office giving a substantiated opinion.